

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES HEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Paten and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/695,996		10/30/2003		Takashi Miyamori	244612US2CONT	5652	
	22850	7590	09/23/2005		EXAMINER		
	OBLON, SI		MCCLELLAND, I	KIM, KENNETH S			
	ALEXANDI		22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		,			2111		

DATE MAILED: 09/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)	
			10/695,996 MIYAMORI, TAK		ASHI
Office Action Summary		Examine	•	Art Unit	
		Kenneth S		2111	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicator Reply	tion appears on the	e cover sheet with the	correspondence a	ddress
WHI(- Exte after - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto treply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF TH 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no evation. ry period will apply and w by statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATIO ent, however, may a reply be ti ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron lication to become ABANDON	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•
Status	,				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n 06 September :	<u> 2005</u> .		
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b)[☐ This action is r	on-final.	•	
3)□	Since this application is in condition for	allowance except	for formal matters, pr	osecution as to th	e merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice i	under <i>Ex part</i> e Qu	<i>ayl</i> e, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>21-50</u> is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v Claim(s) <u>49</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>21-34,39-44 and 50</u> is/are reje Claim(s) <u>35-38 and 45-48</u> is/are objecte Claim(s) are subject to restriction	vithdrawn from co cted. ed to.	(KENNETH S. PRIMARY EXAM	KIM AINER
Applicat	on Papers				
10)□	The specification is objected to by the ExThe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	accepted or b) n to the drawing(s) be correction is requir	ne held in abeyance. Se ed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 C	
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International	cuments have bee cuments have bee ne priority docume	n received. n received in Applicat ents have been receiv	ion No	Stage
* \$	See the attached detailed Office action fo	•	` ''	ed.	
Attachmen					
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO r No(s)/Mail Date <u>Oct3003</u> .		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal R 6) Other:		O-152)
S. Patent and T TOL-326 (R	ademark Office ev. 7-05)	Office Action Summa	ry Pa	art of Paper No./Mail D	Pate 20050916

Application/Control Number: 10/695,996

Art Unit: 2111

1. Claims 21-50 for examination.

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

Page 2

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 21-34, 39-44, and 50 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-20 of U. S. Patent No. 6,675,290 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows:

Both sets of claims recite the operation of a first processor and a second processor in two modes, in which the second processor is supplied with a signal to execute instruction in an extended instruction or no operation from the first processor, wherein, the extended instruction contains the instruction for the second processor in one mode and contains instructions for the first processor only in the other mode.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent.

Application/Control Number: 10/695,996 Page 3

Art Unit: 2111

4. Claims 35-38 and 45-48 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

- 5. Claim 49 is allowed over the prior art of record.
- 6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 21-34, 39-44, and 50 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth S KIM whose telephone number is (571) 272-3627. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-17:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Rinehart can be reached on (571) 272-3632. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are ((571) 273-8300 for all communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2100.

KENNETH S. KIM
PRIMARY EXAMINER